

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

SIDHARTHA DATTA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UR M. JADDOU, Director, U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration Services, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:22-cv-1302-JHC

ORDER


This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. The Court held a telephonic conference on September 8, 2023, in which the parties indicated that they would not oppose a stay pending the Ninth Circuit’s decision on Plaintiffs’ appeal of the Court’s denial of their motion for a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO). Dkt. # 20.

In determining whether to stay a case, “the competing interests which will be affected by the granting or refusal to grant a stay must be weighed.” *Lockyer v. Mirant Corp.*, 398 F.3d 1098, 1110 (9th Cir. 2005) (citing *CMAX, Inc. v. Hall*, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 1962)). Those interests include: (1) “the possible damage which may result from the granting of a stay,” (2) “the hardship or inequity which a party may suffer in being required to go forward,” and (3) “the orderly course of justice measured in terms of the simplifying or complicating of issues,

1 proof, and questions of law which could be expected to result from a stay.” *Id.* Here, the Court
2 finds that these factors weigh in favor of staying this case.

3 The Court hereby STAYS this case until the Ninth Circuit resolves Plaintiffs’ appeal of
4 this Court’s denial of their motion for a TRO. The Clerk is directed to terminate Defendants’
5 Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. # 14).

6 Dated this 8th day of September, 2023.

7 

8 John H. Chun
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24